



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,246	04/06/2006	Kouichirou Taniguchi	266004US0XPCT	5377
22850 7590 07/14/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER KRUER, KEVIN R	
			ART UNIT 1794	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 07/14/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action

Applicant's arguments filed June 26, 2009 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the prior art film of Marotta is a shrink film, and said reference fails to disclose a stretch film. Said argument is noted but applicant has failed to describe why the preamble limitation "stretch film" is structurally different than the shrink film of the prior art. Applicant provides definitions for each (see pages 4 and 5 of 6/26 argument) but does not argue or imply said definitions are mutually exclusive. To the contrary, the specification teaches the claimed stretch film is heat shrinkable (see paragraph 0050 of the PGPUB document). Thus, applicant has failed to demonstrate the preamble distinguishes the claimed invention from the prior art. Since the film is compositionally and structurally identical to the claimed stretch film, it is understood to be suitable for use as a stretch wrap laminate.

Applicant further argues Marotta does not teach a core comprising B, C, and D. The examiner agrees but notes Marotta was never relied upon for such a teaching. Rather, the rejection relied upon Kijima for said teaching. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant also argues the claimed invention exhibits unexpected results over the prior art. In support of said position, applicant points to page 9, line 15 to page 10, line

Art Unit: 1794

1 of the specification. Said argument is noted but is not persuasive because said results would not be unexpected by the skilled artisan (see discussion in the Final Rejection). Furthermore, Kijima teaches a propylene meeting the claimed properties (1) and (2).

Applicant further argues the examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the reasons of record. Specifically, the proposed combination would be expected to improve the interlayer adhesive strength.

For the reasons noted above, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN R. KRUEER whose telephone number is (571)272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on 571-272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin R Kruer/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794